1	WILLIAM L. ANTHONY (State Bar No. 1069		
•	ERIC L. WESENBERG (State Bar No. 139696	0)	
2	HEIDI L. KEEFE (State Bar No. 178960)		
3	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LL	.P	
3	1000 Marsh Road		
4	Menlo Park, CA 94025		
•	Telephone: 650-614-7400	•	
5 ·	Facsimile: 650-614-7401		
6	STEVEN ALEXANDER (admitted Pro Hac V.	ice)	
_	KRISTIN L. CLEVELAND (admitted Pro Had		
7	JAMES E. GERINGER (admitted Pro Hac Vic		
8	JOHN D. VANDENBERG	- <b>,</b>	
0	KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP		
9	One World Trade Center, Suite 1600	•	
	121 S.W. Salmon Street		
10	Portland, OR 97204		
	Telephone: 503-226-7391	•	
11	Facsimile: 503-228-9446		
12	1 desimile. 303 220 3440		
12	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant,		
13	MICROSOFT CORPORATION		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	NORTHERN DISTR	del of california	
16	OAKLAND DIVISION		
•			
17	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES	CASE NO. C01-1640 SBA	
10	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,		
18	Plaintiff,	MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S	
19	ŕ	PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-2	
- 1	v.	DISCLOSURE OF PRELIMINARY	
20	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND	
1	Washington corporation,	EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE (LIMITED	
21	Defendent	TO "MINI-MARKMAN" CLAIMS)	
	Defendant.	,	
22	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a		
23	Washington corporation,	•	
23	Counterclaimant,		
24		•	
- '	v.		
25	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES		
	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,		
26	Counter Claim-Defendant.		
27	Counter Claim-Defendant.		
~′			
28			

MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-2 DISCLOSURE (LIMITED TO "MINI-MARKMAN" CLAIMS), CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA 10<sub>.</sub>

Claim Construction And Extrinsic Evidence," limited to the twelve selected "Mini-Markman" patent claims. Microsoft's preliminary claim construction is based upon the proposed terms, phrases and clauses, and claims as a whole, identified by the parties in their submissions in accordance with Patent Local Rule 4-1(a) and conference in accordance with Patent Local Rule 4-1(b).

Microsoft provides its preliminary claim construction of each of the 12 "Mini-Markman"

Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-2 and this Court's Order, entered November 5, 2002,

Defendant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") hereby serves its "Disclosure Of Preliminary

claims subject to the limitations and reservations of rights set forth herein. Microsoft does not waive any defenses that the asserted claims fail to satisfy the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 112 including, for example, the written description requirement, the definiteness requirement, or any other requirement for patentability. Microsoft does not concede that the asserted claims are supported by Plaintiff's original application or any application from which they purportedly claim priority. Specifically, by offering a construction of a term, Microsoft does not waive any defense that the claim is in fact indefinite and there can be no proper construction.

Microsoft provides its preliminary claim construction in the following format. Exhibit A sets forth Microsoft's preliminary construction of (1) the claim term "virtual distribution environment" ("VDE"), (2) the "VDE invention" disclosed in the February, 1995, InterTrust patent application, and (3) certain other claim terms. Exhibit B sets forth Microsoft's preliminary construction of the disputed claims as a whole, and particular claim phrases in dispute, in the order of appearance in a claim. Where an individual claim term (within a phrase) is also in dispute, it will be bold-faced in Exhibits A and B. Exhibit C sets forth Microsoft's preliminary construction of the individual terms in dispute, in alphabetical order.

Microsoft reserves the right to modify its preliminary claim constructions in the event that the parties are unable to agree upon a particular claim construction. Furthermore, because InterTrust has not yet fully complied with the disclosure requirements of Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-2, Microsoft expressly reserves the right to amend its preliminary claim construction if

evidence becomes available through those disclosures (or that should have been provided therein) that would support amended constructions. Microsoft further reserves the right to amend its preliminary claim constructions once it has an opportunity to review InterTrust's preliminary claim constructions and once the parties have further met and conferred as required.

## Preliminary Identification of Evidence in Support of Claim Construction

Microsoft's preliminary claim construction is supported by the intrinsic record of the seven U.S. patents from which the 12 "Mini-Markman" claims are selected. For the purposes of submission of this preliminary claim construction only, Microsoft treats the "intrinsic" evidence as including: 1) the specifications of each of the seven U.S. patents at issue in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, including any material purportedly incorporated by reference therein; 2) the prosecution history of each of the seven patents at issue, including the applications and prosecution history of the seven patents and any related patent applications, including without limitation, applications purportedly incorporated by reference or to which an application claimed priority; and 3) all references cited in the prosecution of any such applications. In accordance with the local rules, this evidence is not specifically identified, except to the extent that Microsoft asserts particular sections of a patents' specifications provide "structure" for claims properly construed under 35 U.S.C. § 112(6).

In certain circumstances, Microsoft's preliminary construction may be supported by extrinsic evidence presently available to Microsoft. Microsoft reserves the right to modify or supplement with evidence that it has not yet been able to fully review, due to InterTrust's production, including without limitation, InterTrust re-production of over 1,000,000 pages on November 4, 2002. Microsoft reserves the right to supplement with additional evidence gathered in the course of the discovery collected between now and the close of "claim construction" discovery or later submitted by InterTrust in full compliance with its disclosure obligations under Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-2. Extrinsic evidence is identified or produced in accordance with the local rule and set forth in the following exhibits:

1	Exhibit D: Contains copies of excerpts from dictionaries and other publications. Due to		
2	the volume of the appended pages, Exhibit D will be served via Federal Express.		
3	Exhibit E: Contains a list of selected production documents, identified by initial bates		
4	number.		
5	Exhibit F: Contains a list of selected, uncited prior art publications, identified by bates		
6	number(s).		
7	Exhbit G: Contains a list of selected, uncited prior art patents, identified by bates		
8	number(s).		
9	In addition to the extrinsic evidence cited in Exhibits D-G, Microsoft incorporates by		
10	reference herein and reserves the right to rely upon: 1) all documents identified by InterTrust in		
11	response to discovery or pursuant to the Patent Local Rules; 2) all InterTrust patents,		
12 '	publications and other things that are prior art to any Mini-Markman claim; and 3) the testimony		
13	of InterTrust and the witnesses identified below.		
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
15	Preliminary Identification of Witnesses <sup>1</sup>		
16	Professor John Mitchell: Dr. Mitchell will testify of the following matters:		
17	1) that certain of the presently disputed terms and phrases used in the twelve claims are		
18	amorphous terms lacking a well-defined, precise meaning that can accurately be gleaned from		
19	technical or other dictionaries. Rather, these terms are used in the art and/or in the patents in a		
20	manner that requires close consideration of the entire patent specification to put them in proper		
21	context and determine their precise, correct meaning as used in the patents. These terms include		
22_	"secure container," "control," "govern," "protect," "protected processing environment," "secure,"		
23	"securely," "security," "virtual distribution environment";		
24	2) that the concepts stated in the InterTrust patents were known to the art, including the		
25	cited prior art, which cited art he will describe;		
26-			
27	In accordance with the local rules, Microsoft identifies witness testimony that it contends will		
28	support its construction. It has not identified herein testimony relevant to the "tutorial" to be held prior to the claim construction hearing.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S PATENT LOCAL		
	RULE 4-2 DISCLOSURE (LIMITED TO "MINI- -3- MARKMAN" CLAIMS), CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA		

1	3) the level of skill, background, and understanding (including extent thereof) of the	
2	relevant patent application disclosures by a person of skill in the art; and	
3	4) the meaning and scope certain disputed claim language, including "secure container,"	
4	"control," "govern," "protect," "protected processing environment," "secure," "securely,"	
- 5	"security," and "virtual distribution environment."	
6	Professor David Maier: Dr. Maier will testify on the following matters:	
7	1) what the February 13, 1995, patent application (SN 08/388,107) and the seven	
8	InterTrust patents, described as the "invention;" more particularly, what are the required,	
9	necessary, non-optional features of the "VDE" "invention" as stated in the patents. This	
10	description will include an explanation of the features set forth in Microsoft's "Global	
11	Constructions" (Exhibit A).	
12	2) what the February 13, 1995, patent application (SN 08/388,107) and the seven	
13	InterTrust patents, required as necessary, non-optional building blocks to implement the "VDE"	
14	"invention" as stated in the patents.	
15		
16	Dated: December 20, 2002	
17	11 110/11	
18	By: Int Muln	
19	WILLIAM L. ANTHONY ERIC L. WESENBERG	
20	HEIDI L. KEEFE	
21	ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road	
22_	Menlo Park, CA 94025  Telephone: 650-614-7400	
23	STEVEN ALEXANDER	
24	KRISTIN L. CLEVELAND	
25	JAMES E. GERINGER JOHN D. VANDENBERG	
_26_	KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600	
27	121 S.W. Salmon Street	
28	Portland, OR 97204  Telephone: 503-226-7391	
	MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-2 DISCLOSURE (LIMITED TO "MINI- -4- MARKMAN" CLAIMS), Case No. C 01-1640 SBA	

1	
2	Attorneys for Defendant MICROSOFT CORPORATION
3	Of Counsel:
4	T. Andrew Culbert, Esq.
5	Microsoft Corporation
6	One Microsoft Way Building 8
7	Redmond, WA 98052-6399 Telephone: 425-882-8080
8	
9	
10	•
11	
12'	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22_	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-2 DISCLOSURE (LIMITED TO "MINI- -5- MARKMAN" CLAIMS), CASE NO. C 01-1640 SBA

## 1 DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL 2 I am more than eighteen years old and not a party to this action. My place of employment 3 and business address is 121 S.W. Salmon St., Suite 1600, Portland, OR 97204. 4 On December 20, 2002, at 3:00 p.m., I served on counsel for InterTrust Technologies 5 Corporation: MICROSOFT CORPORATION'S PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-2 6 DISCLOSURE OF PRELIMINARY CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND 7 EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE (LIMITED TO "MINI-MARKMAN" CLAIMS) 8 by email delivery to: 9 Michael H. Page, Esq. Douglas Derwin 10 John W. Keker, Esq. InterTrust Technologies Corporation Jon B. Streeter, Esq. 4800 Patrick Henry Drive 11 Keker & Van Nest, LLP Santa Clara, CA 95054 710 Sansome Street Telephone: 408-855-0100 12 San Francisco, CA 94111 Facsimile: 408-855-0144 Telephone: 415-391-5400 13 Email: dderwin@intertrust.com Facsimile: 415-397-7188 Email: mhp@kvn.com 14 15 Steven H. Morrissett, Esq. Finnegan Henderson Farabow 16 Garrett & Dunner 17 Stanford Research Park 700 Hansen Way 18 Palo Alto CA 94304-1016 Telephone: 202-408-4000 19 Facsimile: 202-408-4400 Email: steven.morrissett@finnegan.com 20 21 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 22 Executed on December 20, 2002, at Portland, Oregon. 23 24 25 26 27

28